

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  Jean-Louis Ruelle	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 8726
09/701,271		03/11/2002		BM45323	
25308	7590	09/30/2003			
DECHE			EXAMINER		
ATTN: ALLEN BLOOM, ESQ 4000 BELL ATLANTIC TOWER 1717 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103				BASKAR, PA	OMAVATHI
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1645	9/
				DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003	Ч

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Amelia Aiam Na	Annlinguation					
·.	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/701,271	RUELLE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Padmavathi v Baskar	1645					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover she twith the correspondence addresses Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	der Ex parte Quayre, 1000 O	.5. 11, 400 0.0. 210.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-70</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 31-70 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)	- p	- <b>33</b> /					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(	5) 🔲 Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1645

## **RESTRICTION**

- 1. Applicant's amendment filed on 11/27/00 has been entered. Claims 1-30 have been canceled. Claims 31-70 have been entered, Claims 31-70 are pending in the application.
- 2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 31-44, 60-62, 68-69 and 65 drawn to polypeptide, fusion protein, vaccine, therapeutic composition and a method of inducing immune response.

Further restriction to one SEQ.ID.NO required (see paragraph # 4).

Group II, claims 45-58, 59 and 70 drawn to DNA, vector and host cell and process of expressing polynucleotide.

Further restriction to one SEQ.ID.NO required (see paragraph # 4).

Group III, claims 63-64 drawn to an antibody and a therapeutic composition.

Further restriction to one SEQ.ID.NO required (see paragraph # 4).

Group IV, claim 66 drawn to a method for diagnosing Neisseria infection using peptide or antibody. Further restriction to one SEQ.ID.NO required (see paragraph # 4).

Group V, claim 67 drawn to a method for inducing immune response using polynucleotide.

Further restriction to one SEQ.ID.NO required (see paragraph # 4).

Application/Control Number: 09/701,271

Art Unit: 1645

3. The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Group I is directed to a polypeptide and a method of use. The special technical feature is the polypeptide, which is made up of amino acids. Groups II-III are drawn to products nucleic acids and antibodies which do not require each other for their practice and do not share the same or a corresponding technical feature as they share no common structure, property or function since DNA is made up of nucleic acids and antibody does not share nucleic acids. Groups IV-V inventions are drawn to methods which do not require each other for their practice and do not share the same or a corresponding technical feature as they use products that share no common structure, property or function. Group I is drawn to first product polypeptide and first method of using the peptide. Note that PCT Rule 13.2 does not provide for multiple products or methods within a single application. Since the special technical feature of the Group I invention is not present in the Group II-III claims, and the special technical features of the Group II-III inventions are not present in the Group I claims, unity of invention is lacking.

## **DISTINCT INVENTIONS**

4. For each group of inventions I-V above, restriction to one of the following SEQ.ID.NO is also required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372. Therefore, election is required of one of inventions I-V and one of SEQ ID NO: 1 - 6.

Inventions SEQ ID NO: 1 - SEQ ID NO: 6 are not so linked as to under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

SEQ.ID.NOS 1-6 represent polypeptides and the polynucleotides encoding them that share no common structure, function or property. Therefore, where structural identity is

Application/Control Number: 09/701,271

Art Unit: 1645

required, such as for hybridization or expression, the sequences have different effects. Thus,

each sequence is unique and is different to each other and share no common structure, no

common property and no common function and thus lack the same or corresponding special

technical feature.

Applicant is required under Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372 to elect

a single disclosed SEQ.ID.NO from any group elected.

5. Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a group and one sequence and

identify the SEQ.ID.NO to which the claims shall be restricted. The reply must also identify the

claims readable on the elected invention, including any claims subsequently added. An

argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive

unless accompanied by an election.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Padmavathi v Baskar whose telephone number is (703) 308-8886. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30A.M-4: 00 P.M.) First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lynette Smith can be reached on (703) 308-3909. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular

communications and (703) 308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

P. Baskar Ph.D.

9/25/03

LYNETTE R. F. SMITH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Page 4